## The Role of Microbes in Bioplastic Innovation: A Path Toward **Environmental Sustainability**

Tanvi Tamakuwala

**Department of Biotechnology** Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat 395007, Gujarat, India. Email:- tanvitamakuwala@gmail.com

**Swati Patel** 

Vanita Vishram University, Surat, Gujarat, India.

**Mansi Mehta** 

**Department of Biotechnology** Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat 395007, Gujarat, India.

**Gaurav Shah** 

**Department of Biotechnology** Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat 395007, Gujarat, India.



#### **Abstract:**

The rise of plastic pollution as a major global environmental issue is attributed to the recalcitrance of traditional petroleum-derived plastics, which persist in the environment as persistent pollutants. The demand for sustainable solutions is creating opportunities for research into microbial bioplastics, which have the potential to alleviate some of the burden of fossil fuel-derived plastics. Smart microorganisms that are able to synthesize polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs), such as Cupriavidus necator, Pseudomonas putida or engineered Escherichia coli, can produce not only PHAs but also polylactic acid (PLA) and even bio polyesters. Another environmental advantage of microbial bioplastic is that microbial bioplastic can be produced from renewable feedstocks like industrial waste and agricultural by-products, which mitigates carbon emissions and waste accumulation.

Advances in synthetic biology and metabolic engineering have optimized microbes for more efficient bioplastic production than ever before. Increased yield, genetic heterogeneity of homopolymers and cost-efficiency have been achieved by CRISPR-based genetic engineering and fermentation strategies, scaling up to industrial levels. In recent years, bioplastics have started to incorporate in medical, packaging, and automotive applications through their biodegradation and mechanical characteristics which provide alternatives for traditional plastics. Nevertheless, high production expenses, scale-up, and nonuniform degradation rates are the major barriers of the wide use.

This review focuses on the function of microbes in the bioplastic production, covering recent studies on biopolymer biosynthesis, industrial uses, and commercialization. It also addresses the evolution of policy, economic hurdles, and innovations required for further development of microbial bioplastics for global sustainability.

#### **Introduction:**

Due to inexpensive nature, easier availability, durability and light weight, Plastics have been the cornerstone material of modern industries. Nevertheless, humans' overuse of these has led to environmental catastrophes such as melting plastic waste, the Microplastic crisis and the overflow of landfills are all global problems. Due to the resilience of the petroleum-based plastics, they remain in the environment for hundreds of years and cause pollution in the marine ecosystem, soil ecosystem, and food webs [3]. A desire to tackle the plastic waste crisis and the need to find sustainable replacements has made researchers particularly interested in the field of bioplastics by microbial processes [10].

Microbial bioplastics consisting of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and polylactic acid (PLA)

have received much attention because of their biodegradability and lower carbon footprint

among bioplastics [15]. These biopolymers are produced via fermentation processes with the

help of microorganisms from renewable feedstocks like organic waste, agricultural by-

products, and wastewater even [1]. Development of synthetic biology, metabolic engineering,

and fermentation technologies will also greatly enhance efficiency and commercial feasibility

of microbial bioplastics [8].

In this section, the plastic pollution environmental threat is introduced, a definition with

explanation of bioplastics classification and advantages is given, and microbes in bioplastic

innovation are reviewed, addressing their critical relevance in the pursuit of environmental

sustainability.

The Alarming Meaning of Plastic Pollution

Plastic pollution has emerged as one of the most pressing environmental issues of our time. Its

widespread use across industries and households has resulted in a growing ecological footprint

that can no longer be ignored. To understand the gravity of this crisis, it is essential to explore

how plastic production has evolved globally and why conventional petroleum-based plastics

are at the core of the problem.

Global Plastic Production Trends and Environmental Impact

Worldwide, the plastic production amounts to more than 370 million tons a year, with more

than 8 million tons a year entering the marine ecosystems [3]. Plastic materials require a long

time to degrade, and most plastics have been used in packaging, consumer goods, and medical

products [17] and not degrade in the land fill that caused the environmental issue [17].

Microplastics are also found in marine organisms, human tissues, and agricultural soils leading

to long-term ecological and health risks [5].

Petroleum-derived plastic manufacture is a major component of its carbon footprint and

greenhouse gas contribution, along with the dependence on fossil fuels [6]. Due to the

depletion of fossil fuel reserves and the plastic crisis, many researchers and industries are

moving towards sustainable alternatives, such as biodegradable microbial bioplastics [12].

**Limitations of Conventional Petroleum-Based Plastics** 

Despite their ubiquitous applications, convention plastics have their shortcomings which

limits their environmental sustainability:

VNSGU Journal of Research and Innovation (Peer Reviewed)

97

- Non-Biodegradability: Most of the modular plastics are degraded for hundreds of years, causing tremendous pollution for them [18].
- High Fossil Fuel Dependency: non-renewable resources used for petroleum-based plastics contribute to environmental degradation [10].
- Heath and environmental hazards Some plastics contain toxic additives which leach to ecosystems and food chains [7]
- Low recycling rate (as low as the global recycling rate for plastics grows below 10%): Waste pile up without appropriate waste management [16].

With these concerns in mind, it is clear that there is a pressing demand for more sustainable plastic alternatives that are biodegradable, have lower carbon emissions, and contribute to bicircular economy.

## **Bioplastics: A Sustainable Solution**

Bioplastics are plastics that are either made from renewable resources, or biodegradable. In contrast to petroleum-based plastics, a number of bioplastics can be decomposed and possess low environmental persistence [14].

## **Classification of Bioplastics**

Bioplastics can be classified as follows according to biodegradability and origin of production:

- Bio-based biodegradable plastics These are PHAs and PLA, synthesized through microbial fermentation of renewable resources [15].
- Non-biobased non-biodegradable plastics: These are bio-PE (bio-Polyethylene) and bio-PET (bio-based Polyethylene Terephthalate), made from biomass but behaving as plastics [9].
- Petrochemical-based biodegradable plastics Include polymers such as PBAT (Polybutylene Adipate Terephthalate) and PCL (Polycaprolactone) that are synthesized from fossil sources but with inherent properties making them candidate materials for biodegradability [11].

#### Traditional Plastics vs. Microbial Bioplastics: A Comparison

Biodegradable Microbial Bioplastics, such as PHAs and PLA, from renewable feedstocks, suitable alternatives to the conventional plastics. Microbial bioplastics, in contrast to synthetic plastics that take hundreds of years to degrade, can dissolve (in natural conditions) relieving the burden of plastic pollution [4].

Impressive reductions in carbon emissions, dependence on fossil fuels and environmental contamination could be achieved through the global use of microbial bioplastics. Despite these

advantages, high production costs and the scalability issue make them technologically unviable for large-scale commercialization [13].

## Microbes as the Key Players in Bioplastic Innovation

The key step in the innovation of bioplastics is the conversion of organic feedstocks to biopolymers by microorganisms. Recent breakthroughs in both metabolic engineering and synthetic biology have led to the production of biodegradable plastics such as PHAs and PLA by microbes [6].

## Bioplastic production from feedstock microbial conversion

Microbial fermentation can turn organic materials into biodegradable plastics using bacteria, fungi, and algae. Here are some of the main microbial bioplastics:

- PHAs These intracellular storage polymers vary in their material properties and are produced by bacteria including Cupriavidus necator and Pseudomonas putida [2].
- Polylactic Acid (PLA) Obtained from microbial fermentation of lactic acid by Lactobacillus spp; used in biomedical and packaging applications [3]
- Bioplastics from Algae Certain species of cyanobacteria are being researched for their CO<sub>2</sub> fixing capabilities and ability to produce bio-based polymers [19].

## 1) Metabolic Engineering and Synthetic Biology Applications

By employing state-of-the-art reverse genetic technologies, scientists are turning to bioplastics produced by microbes; Examples of these tools are:

- Enhanced biotokne durability with the enabling CRISPR gene-biomatrices GCRISPR tool for improved mutagenesis [8].
- Alterations in metabolic pathways to give organisms the ability to use a variety of different feedstocks including waste materials and CO<sub>2</sub> [1].
- Biotech processing: Bioengineering to improve strength and flexibility and biodegradability of polymers [14].

## Importance of Consortia in Microbial Production for Sustainability

- To enhance bioplastic yields and reduce bioplastic production costs, microbial consortia consisting of multiple microbial species are being employed [17].
- Mixed microbial cultures have been used for PHA production from waste feedstocks since it decreases the reliance on expensive carbon sources [5].
- Bioreactor microsystems that combine microbial fermentation and industrial waste recycling are candidates for a circular bioeconomy [12].

Conventional plastics have a detrimental impact on environment and as a solution, microbial bioplastics can fulfil the requirement of sustainable manner. However, the bioprocesses involved and the overall feasibility of bioplastic production have been improved in recent years by microbial biotechnology, synthetic biology, and waste valorization. Nonetheless, overcoming high production cost, limited scalability, and regulatory hurdles is necessary to facilitate global rollout of microbial bioplastics, in various industries.

## **Microbial Bioplastics: Types and Production Pathways**

Due to biodegradability and renewable origins, as well as their wide range of applications, microbial bioplastics have emerged as a promising alternative to fossil fuel-based plastics. Microbial bioplastics are defined bioplastics that can be derived from microbial fermentation processes and are among the most widely studied such as PHAs and PLA, respectively, of which their monomers can originate from renewable and waste-derived feedstocks [1]. Boosted by the combined efforts of synthetic biology and metabolic engineering, the production efficiency of such bioplastics has been improved, making them viable for commercial-level applications [2]. Here, the styles for the wide category of microbial bioplastics, corresponding dispersion paths and recent biotechnological improvements towards industrial feasibility are included.

## Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs)

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are a class of biodegradable polyesters that some bacteria produce as intracellular energy reserves. Under the conditions of nutrient limitation, especially nitrogen or phosphorus limitation but in the presence of excess carbon sources microbes accumulate these polymers inside their cells [3]. Depending on the number of carbons from monomer units, PHAs are respectively grouped into short-chain-length (SCL) and medium-chain-length (MCL) polyesters. The three most general types of PHAs are polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB), polyhydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate (PHBV) and polyhydroxyoctanoate (PHO) [4].

PHB is the most popular and commercially of PHA studied. Due to its high crystallinity, good biodegradability and thermoplastic properties, it can be used for packaging, agricultural films and biomedical applications [5]. Nevertheless, PHB displays brittle behavior and has low thermal stability, leading to the preparation of copolymers such as poly(3-hydroxybutanoate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV), which present increased flexibility and better processing properties [6]. Likewise, PHO, as one kind of medium-chain-length PHA, shows better elasticity, lower melting point and could degrade in an increased rate, which makes PHO ideally used for applications where soft and flexible functional abilities are required [7].

Microbial fermentation is used in the industrial-scale production of PHAs, in which bacteria such as Cupriavidus necator, Pseudomonas putida, and Bacillus megaterium are grown in bioreactors using renewable feedstocks, including sugarcane molasses, industrial byproducts or even organic waste [8]. Fermentation includes cell growth, polymer build-up, Polymer purification [9]. PHAs are purified from microbial biomass (e.g., cell and cell debris) by solvent extraction or by enzymatic digestion [9].

Many of the current players associated with PHA commercialization are Altuglas, Danimer Scientific, TianAn Biologic, Bio-On, etc. that are producing PHA based biodegradable plastics targeted towards food packaging, medical applications, disposable products, etc. [10]. Nonetheless, although there is a gradually increasing market for PHAs, their high production expenses and restriction of large-scale processing sustain them from being applied widely [11]. Research is being directed towards maximizing microbial productivity and fermentation processes as well as inexpensive feedstocks to make PHAs economically feasible.

## Polylactic Acid (PLA) & Other Bioplastics

Polylactic acid (PLA) is a biodegradable aliphatic polyester produced by lactic acid fermentation on renewable carbon sources, such as corn starch, sugarcane, and food waste [12]. PLA is made via a two-step microbial fermentation with the first step where sugars are converted to lactic acid by Lactobacillus species, followed by polymerization of the lactic acid via chemical or enzymatic catalysis to form PLA [13].

Due to its relatively high mechanical strength and transparency and biocompatibility, PLA has been used as a plastic material to produce food packaging, biomedical applications, and textile fibers [14]. Biodegradable polyester and a good substitute for petroleum-based plastics in single-use packaging applications due to its biodegradability under industrial composting conditions [15]. But PLA has longer half-life period in nature and it only degrade maximizing under certain conditions [16].

PLA is widely applied in the medical area due to its biocompatibility and the nontoxic degradation byproducts. For example, PLA-based materials have been widely used in sutures, drug delivery systems, orthopedic implants, and tissue scaffolds [17]. PLA is also a widely used filament in 3D printing due to its high print quality ease of processing with high fidelity printing and is suitable for prototyping and medical device fabrication [18].

Although PLA has some merits, such as biodegradability, its brittleness and low thermal stability prevent its use in high-performance engineering materials [5]. To broaden the industrial application of PLA, significant part of the research is currently directed towards blending PLA with plasticizers or copolymers to enhance flexibility and impact resistance [19].

# Synthetic Biology and Genetic Engineering in Microbial Bioplastic Production

Despite bioplastics have been produced by microbes for over 100 years, advances in synthetic biology and metabolic engineering have opened up new future directions that drive the success of these two technologies together, leading to further enhancing of bioplastic synthesis (high-yielding production), and also introducing potential metabolic pathways and efficient fermentation processes for synthetic polymerization [1]. CRISPR-Cas9 (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindromic Repeats—CRISPR associated protein 9) genome editing is likely one of the most disruptive tools used in this field, as it allows for precise genetic tailoring of specific genes in a given bacterium to improve the bioplastic yield, substrate utilization, and biochemical/biopolymer properties [2].

For example, the efficiency of PHA production in microbial strains such as Cupriavidus necator and Escherichia coli has been improved through engineering of important metabolic pathways [3]. Examples include alterations of the acetyl-CoA and fatty acid biosynthesis pathways that have resulted in higher intracellular levels of PHAs which could be more economical for fermentation and are closer to industrial implementation [4].

Getting different types of microbes to make bioplastics is nothing new, but this is the next lucrative trick for having them have highly desirable properties is created with non-traditional feedstocks, which could be agricultural waste, wastewater, or even carbon dioxide. Developed microbial consortia have strategically been able to enhance bioplastic production through the co-culturing of different species to convert complex organic waste into bioplastics at a lower cost [5].

Moreover, synthetic biology-based strategies were implemented to enhance the PLA biosynthetic pathway. Recently, genetically modified Escherichia coli strains were exploited to directly produce PLA from simple sugars, eliminating the lactic acid polymerization stage [6]. Such a development can help to streamline PLA production steps and make it more commercially accessible, while moving away from expensive chemical polymerization methods [7].

Although synthetic biology has greatly enhanced the microbial bioplastic production processes, the production of bioplastics by GM (Genetically Modified) microorganisms still faces hurdles in their scale-up, regulatory approval and consumer acceptance. Regional differences in regulatory frameworks for the industrial use of GMOs (Genetically Modified Organisms) inhibit the global commercialization of genetically engineered bioplastic-producing microbes [8].

Nevertheless, the integration of CRISPER genetic engineering, metabolic engineering, and synthetic microbial consortia is leading toward efficient and low-cost bioplastic biodegradation. With the developments of these technologies, microbial bioplastics are anticipated to be a widely used alternative to petroleum-based plastics, helping achieve sustainability and circular bioeconomy [9].

Microbial bioplastics such as PHAs and PLA provide an attractive family of materials that are potentially sustainable and biodegradable for use, for example, in packaging, medical devices and industrial manufacture. The next generation of optimized microbial strains has been developed quickly due to advances in synthetic biology and metabolic engineering providing higher polymer yields and increasing efficient utilization of substrates, while alternative feedstocks are also more readily used. Yet the widespread commercial adoption of microbial bioplastics remains hindered by problems of cost, scalability, and regulatory restrictions. With further innovation in bioprocess optimization and strain engineering the future of bioplastic production is looking sustainable. To highlight the key distinctions between sustainable and conventional plastic types, **Table 1** presents a comparative overview of microbial bioplastics and petroleum-based plastics. The table outlines differences in biodegradability, feedstock, mechanical strength, Thermal Stability, environmental impact, and production costs offering insights into their respective advantages and limitations in current applications.

TABLE 1: COMPARISON OF MICROBIAL BIOPLASTICS AND PETROLEUM-BASED PLASTICS [3, 6, 9, 12, 15]

	[3, 0, 7, 12, 1	<u> </u>	
Property	PHAs (Polyhydroxyalkanoates)	PLA (Polylactic Acid)	Petroleum-Based Plastics (PE, PP, PET)
Biodegradability	Fully biodegradable in soil, marine, and composting conditions.	Compostable in industrial settings but slower degradation in natural	Non- biodegradable; persists for hundreds of years.
Feedstock	Renewable sources: sugar, organic waste, carbon dioxide.	environments. Renewable sources: corn, sugarcane, agricultural waste.	Fossil fuelderived (petroleum, natural gas).
Mechanical Strength	Moderate, some types (PHB) are brittle, but copolymers like PHBV offer improved flexibility.	High tensile strength but brittle, requiring blending with plasticizers.	High flexibility, durability, and resistance to mechanical stress.
Thermal Stability	Low, requires blending with other polymers for improved heat resistance.	Low, softens around 60°C, limiting	High, stable at higher temperatures,

VNSGU Journal of Research and Innovation (Peer Reviewed)

ISSN:2583-584X

		applications in high-heat	suitable for various industrial
		environments.	applications.
<b>Production Cost</b>	High due to complex microbial fermentation and purification processes.	Moderate to high, costs depend on fermentation efficiency and polymerization process.	Low, as petroleum- derived plastics benefit from established mass production infrastructure. Used across all
		Commonly used	industries,
Industrial Applications	Used in food packaging,	in packaging,	including
	medical devices (sutures,	biomedical	automotive,
	implants), agricultural films.	implants, 3D	electronics,
	(E)	printing.	construction, and
			textiles.

## **Real-World Applications of Microbial Bioplastics**

Microbial bioplastics such as polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and polylactic acid (PLA), have attracted tremendous interests from various fields because of their biodegradability, renewability, and functional properties. Conventional petroleum-based plastics are known to standby for many years before degrading into any active or harmless form, while microbial bioplastic is much more eco-friendly and can be used in applications from medicine to packaging and also industrial applications. Over the next few years, as technology continues to improve performance and cost for bioplastics, more industries will be utilizing these materials for applications including medical devices, food packaging, consumer goods and automotive use.

## Medical and Pharmaceutical Applications

Since the medical field needs the materials to be biocompatible, non-toxic and biodegradable, microbial bioplastics can be an ideal candidate [1] for use in implants, sutures, drug delivery systems and prosthetics. PHAs and PLA have shown remarkable biocompatibility with human tissues and they also degrade to non-toxic byproducts and do not elicit any immune response [2].

Biodegradable implants and sutures are one of the most potential uses of microbial bioplastics in the healthcare sector. Traditional implants are anchored in place and require secondary surgical removal procedures that increase healthcare costs and complication rates. Orthopedic screws, pins, and plates based on PLA material offer mechanical support for bone repairing during the healing process and then degrade gradually and harmlessly in vivo [3]. Similarly, surgical sutures based on PHA have been developed that are strong but degrade and effectively allow for better wound healing without the need of removing the suture [4].

Microbial bioplastics are used in drug delivery systems, where their controlled degradation behavior enables prolonged and site-specific drug delivery. In recent studies, they have found multiple applications, such as entrapping pharmaceuticals, allowing for the release of the drug at a specific site, over a defined period of time which increases treatment efficacy and reduces adverse effects, when they are used for drug delivery [5]. The use of PHAs has been investigated for the microencapsulation of antibiotics or chemotherapeutic drugs which opens up the field of their medical utility [6].

Microbial bioplastics are also essential for tissue engineering and prostheses apart from implants and drug delivery. Because PHAs are biodegradable and possess tailored mechanical properties, they are excellent candidates for use as scaffolds for the regeneration of bone, cartilage, and skin [7]. The use of 3D bioprinting has recently been developed and allows the production of tailored tissue scaffolds with PLA and PHA filaments that can be applied in patient-specific regenerative medicine approaches [8].

They offer several benefits; however, cost, process complexity and regulatory hurdles continue to pose challenges to the wider clinical implementation of these systems. At the same time, further work will still need to be undertaken on both biopolymer modification, methods of processing and their clinical licenses to enable the transition of microbial bioplastics into main stream healthcare use.

## **Packaging and Consumer Products**

Plastic is one of the major contributors to global waste, especially in the form of packaging where billions of tons of non-degradable plastics are seen getting disposed of every year. Microbial-based plastics such as PLA and PHAs are a potential sustainable solution that creates biodegradable, durable, and food safe bioplastics [9].

Microbial bioplastics have a wide variety of applications, but, one of the major uses of microbial bioplastics is seen in biodegradable food packaging. Food and beverage industries are successfully employing PLA-based packaging films and containers until now, by providing moisture resistance, mechanical strength, and compostability. However, in contrast to the widely used petroleum-based plastics, which last for hundreds of years, PLA packaging can decompose under industrial composting conditions, greatly decreasing environmental pollution [10]. Aside from this, PHA-based packaging materials provide further benefits such as

biodegradability in marine environments that makes it a potential material for single-use plastics that always end up in oceanic environments [11].

Aside from food packaging, the use of the bioplastics of microbiological origin can also be extended to disposable cutlery, shopping bags, and personal care products. Biodegradable straws, cups, and disposable takeout containers that are made up of PHA are increasingly manufactured by companies as a replacement for single-use plastics [12]. Likewise, personal care products made from PLA and PHA (such as a biodegradable toothbrush [12]) and shampoo bottles and containers for cosmetics are becoming a mainstream consumer product among sustainability-conscious consumers [13].

Regulations from the government and sustainability programs from companies have intensified the earlier trend of adoption of microbial bioplastics in consumer goods. Single-use plastics have now been banned in many countries, which is leading industries using single-use plastics mostly to shift towards compostable bioplastic alternatives [14]. This momentum has been tempered however by relatively higher production costs and a lack of composting infrastructure to support broader bioplastic markets. Bioplastic packaging will not go mainstream without continued investment into cost reducing strategies, collection systems, and industrial composting facilities.

#### **Industrial and Automotive Sectors**

Microbial Bioplastics are gaining ground for industrial and automotive applications as these bioplastics also display lighter weight, stiffness and strength, making them prospects for substituting traditional plastics used in these domains. Multi-industry application: various industries e.g. automotive manufacturing, construction and 3D printing are considering PHA-and PLA-based materials for replacing some of the petroleum-derived polymers both from structural and environmental perspective [15].

PLA is one of the most widely used materials in additive manufacturing, especially in biodegradable prototyping and medical modeling. The fact that they are easy to process, have high-quality prints and arise various filament varieties makes PLA filaments an optimal choice for customized manufacturing solutions starting from engineering to healthcare [16]. At the same time, researchers are working on 3D printing materials based on PHA, which possess better biodegradable, biodegradable [17], and flexibility, besides have a great biomedical scaffold and soft-tissue prosthesis application.

Microbial bioplastics are now being used for applications such as dashboard components, door panels, and upholstery in automotive □. Car component manufacture using PHA composites with natural fiber reinforcement can produce lightweight, strong and biodegradable materials

for car component manufacture which automaker need to build up car interiors. Not only did they increase fuel efficiency by adding on to weight reductions, but they also contribute to automobile sustainability goals such as the reduction of carbon footprint and plastic waste [18].

Apart from automotive applications, microbial bioplastics are being used in high-performance industrial applications including biodegradable coatings, agricultural films, and engineering composites. There are some studies on the UV resistance and weather durability of PHA-based materials that would make them potential candidates for nature outdoor use such as greenhouse films and soil-biodegradable mulch. In engineering applications, PLA and PHA blends are also being investigated as replacements for fiberglass and oil-based polymer blends [19].

Although microbial bioplastics have great potential for industrial and automotive production, high production costs, thermal instability, and difficulties in large-scale processing continue to challenge its broad commercialization. To overcome these limitations and broaden the use of bioplastic into industrial sectors, continuous improvements of modification and processing methodologies will be necessary along with advances in biopolymer formulations.

Miniaturized microbial bioplastics have proved as a promising material indifferent industries as a green substitute to petroleum-based plastics. PHAs and PLA are being used in biodegradable implants, drug delivery systems, and tissue engineering for medical and pharmaceutical applications, as their good biocompatibility and degradable nature lead to some significant benefits. Microbial bioplastics are facilitating sustainable alternatives in food packaging and disposables in packaging and consumer goods to lower plastic waste and support circular economy efforts. On the other hand, in addition to the traditional industrial and automotive usage of these microbial bioplastics are being used for parts manufactured using 3D printing, components of automobiles, and engineering applications.

While microbial bioplastics are increasingly being adopted, their commercialization is still limited by a high production cost, scalability problems and processing issues. Nonetheless, ongoing research, innovation, and regulatory assistance will propel microbial bioplastics into an increasingly mainstream solution for creating a sustainable future.

## **Challenges and Limitations**

Microbial bioplastics show great promise as sustainable alternatives to traditional petroleumbased plastics, but there are still a few challenges and limitations to their industrial-scale production [6,7]. However, the high processing costs, limited scalability, mechanical properties and biodegradability issues still hinder large-scale commercialization. Significant research is currently focused on enhancing cost efficiency, biopolymer properties, and waste management strategies to make them a more competitive option against petroleum-derived plastics on economic, durability, and industrial processing scales.

The most significant difficulties of microbial bioplastic production, with particular emphasis on process costs and scalability, technical restrictions on the properties of the biopolymers produced and biodegradability problems.

## **High Production Costs and Scalability Issues**

One of the major challenges for microbial bioplastic scale up is production costs, which are still two to three orders of magnitude higher than their conventional petrochemical plastics morn. Microbial bioplastics like polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and polylactic acid (PLA) are traditionally produced via intricate and high-cost microbial fermentation, which relies on sparged specialized bioreactors under sterile conditions that possess nutrient-rich feedstocks to support microbial growth and polymer accumulation [1]. By contrast, paradigmatic plastics are created from inexpensive fossil fuels, with large-scale production infrastructure well-established [2].

Many factors contribute to greater expense of microbial bioplastics compared to petrochemical plastics, including:

- High cost of feedstock: Although sugar-based substrates (such as glucose and sucrose)
  can yield high amounts of microbial bioplastics, their cost is a bottleneck. Using less
  valuable feedstocks, such as agricultural waste and wastewater is an area of active
  research, but these complex substrates must be pre-treated to reduce the costs of
  subsequent processing [3].
- Fermentation and cultivation costs: Optimized conditions maintained in bioreactors for microbial production of PHAs incur significant operating expenses due to the need for nutrient supplementation and strict controls [4].
- Extracting and purifying the microbial bioplastics from bacterial cells require energyintensive solvent extraction, enzymatic digestion, or centrifugation techniques, hence rendering large-scale production economically unfavorable [5].

The other big issue in microbial bioplastic production is about scale-up. Although this, and other laboratory scale research, shows a high polymer yield in practice, this replication at larger scale with commercial feasibility remains a significant challenge. Achieving accurate process control, low-cost feedstock conversion and enhanced microbial strain engineering for economic viability of these large-scale fermentation bioreactors remain challenging [6].

Moreover, the recovery and purification of PHAs need to be improved for less energy and solvent consumption, making it more economical and eco-friendly [7].

Researchers are tackling these challenges by focusing on:

- Developing the synthetic biology and metabolic engineering tools to create microbial strains with yields that approach thermodynamic limits.
- Introducing new alternatives to feedstock at low costs such as lignocellulosic biomass and agro-industrial byproducts
- Enhancing the bioreactor and developing downstream processing methods for cost reduction.

Microbial bioplastics, however, need to be cost-competitive with petrochemical plastics if they are to be successfully introduced to the commercial markets despite these breakthroughs.

## **Technical Challenges Concerning Biopolymer Properties**

Although they are biodegradable and environmentally friendly, microbial bioplastics generally lack the high mechanical properties of traditional plastics. However, they suffer from many drawbacks, including brittleness, low flexibility, and low thermal stability, which limit their use in high-performance material-applicable industries [8].

As an example, polyhydroxybutyrate (PHB) is a widely studied polyhydroxyalkanoate that possesses a high crystallinity and therefore brittle and fracture under mechanical stress [9]. This limited its utility in applications where a ductile, impact-resistant material is desirable, e.g., automotive parts, electronic enclosures and high-strength packaging. Like PLA, PLA also has low heat resistance and therefore not suitable for applications that require high temperature such as microwave-safe containers and industrial-grade packaging [10].

As a solution to these mechanical constraints, several strategies are being explored by researchers:

- Blending of bioplastics with plasticizers or copolymers: For instance, blend with polyhydroxyvalerate (PHBV) improves its flexibility and processability, PHB can also be co-blended with polybutylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT) or polycaprolactone (PCL) to enhance mechanical strength and biodegradability [11].
- Nanotechnology applications: The addition of nanofillers, e.g., cellulose nanofibers, graphene, or clay nanoparticles to microbial bioplastics improves their mechanical properties, thermal stability and barrier performance [12].
- Metabolic and genetic engineering of microbial strains: Engineered bacteria can generate bespoke PHAs with specific polymer characteristics to lower brittleness and increase flexibility and toughness [13].

However, these advances still fail to replicate the performance of the same materials in bulk in most cases. Improvement of biopolymer properties and process optimization are necessary to make microbial bioplastics more storable and useful, and to outcompete fossil-based materials.

## **Biodegradability and End-of-Life Concerns**

Microbial bioplastics are particularly beneficial due to the biodegradability of microbial bioplastics, which is the result of microbial activity that degrades the bioplastics into natural byproducts. Nevertheless, biodegradability is not the same as being degraded at the same rate and to the same extent under all environmental conditions, which raised potential concerns on their end-of-life management and disposal pathways [14].

They are among the most biodegradable biopolymers based on natural micro-organisms and can fully degrade in the atmosphere, soil, and composting environments under marine conditions, thus suitable for reducing plastic waste [15]. Actually, PLA does not biodegrade in nature even if it is a biopolymer, and it needs an industrial composting setting (high temperature and humidity, and microorganisms) to be degraded rapidly [16]. This inconsistency causes problems for PLA waste management as it will continue to break down in non-compost environment if not appropriately disposed of.

Key factors influencing the biodegradation of microbial bioplastics include:

- Bioplastics degrade quicker in composting conditions compared to soils and water environments [6]. As an illustration, PLA is only able to effectively degrade at temperatures exceeding 60 °C, while PHAs can degrade under natural conditions [17].
- Microbial activity: Biodegrading microorganisms serve to increase the pace of bioplastic degradation (existing species: Pseudomonas, Bacillus, etc.). Microbial activity, however, differs between ecosystems [18].
- Material composition and polymer structure: Increased polymer crystallinity and chain length delay biodegradation, causing certain bioplastics to be more environmentally persistent [19].

Researchers are now targeting the improvement of biodegradability of microbial bioplastics by:

- Enzyme-assisted strategies for the accelerated biodegradation of bioplastics
- Developing bioplastics with better end-of-life pathways such as compostability and chemical recycling.
- Encouraging waste management infrastructure to provide collection, composting, and disposal of biodegradable plastics.

Microbial bioplastics represent a sustainable alternative to conventional plastics; however, the challenges of biodegradability and mismanaged waste of microbial bioplastics may restrict the full potential of their sustainability. These challenges, however, will be addressed by future developments in material formulation, waste treatment technologies, and awareness in the general public.

Although microbial bioplastics can tame the plastic catastrophe, their broad application is obstructed by high production costs, scalability production, deficiencies in mechanical properties and inability for biodegradability. One of the greatest criticisms is the economic viability of microbial bioplastics; they are presently more costly to manufacture than fossil-derived plastics. Another issue is that the material weaknesses such as brittleness, low heat resistance and limitations on processability restrict them from further processability in high-performance sectors. All the more, biodegradability is a major benefit, however, variability in decomposition rates and disposal systems provide some further obstacles.

Ultimately, these limitations can be circumvented by further research into decreasing production costs, increasing mechanical properties, and bio-degradation strategies instead [5]. As research in synthetic biology, material engineering, and waste management continue to improve, microbial bioplastics should be developed as a commercially feasible, environmentally sustainable alternative to traditional plastics.

## **Future Directions and Emerging Trends**

Research and technological advancements are overcoming challenges of production cost, scalability, and material properties can cause the acceptance of microbial bioplastics, making the transition to adoption increasingly significant. The global market for biodegradable bioplastics spare time ago is predicted to grow considerably owing to increasing environmental concerns and regulatory pressures on single-use plastics. Here, we highlight three key areas of synthetic biology-driven innovations that can accelerate the shift from petroleum-based plastics to structural plastics made from sustainable, bio-based alternatives: innovative policy-driven market incentives, widely accessible photosynthetic bio foundries and cost-effective membrane-based bio-plastics production strategies at an industrial scale.

The newly emerging trends and future directions of microbial bioplastics in relation to synthetic biology developments, government regulations and industrial bioprocessing.

Advances in Synthetic Biology Design for Improved Production of

**Bioplastics** 

Synthetic biology and metabolic engineering fields are providing new tools for improving

microbial bioplastic production in yield and polymer quality, as well as more economical

bioprocessing. Conventional microbial strains for PHAs and PLA production have low

productivity of conversion, substrate limitations, and slow growth rate. These challenges are

attempting to tackle by bioengineering approaches to enhance the efficiency of microbes to

make the bioplastic economically viable to produce [1].

Out of these, one of the most disrupting innovations would be the CRISPR-based genome

editing as it makes possible the fine-tuning of bacteria and fungi genetic to increase

biopolymer production, carbon utilization and metabolic efficiency. Through metabolic

engineering of Cupriavidus necator, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas putida, microbes were

developed with the ability to customize PHA polymers with tailor made mechanical properties

and biodegradability improvements over native PHAs [2] In doing so, they have also allowed

the engineering of microbes to use non-traditional feedstocks (e.g., lignocellulosic biomass,

industrial waste, and CO2) to produce high value bioplastics by optimizing metabolic

pathways [3].

A second growing area of innovation is next-generation bioplastics engineered for specific

properties. The flexibility, thermal stability, and barrier properties of traditional PHAs and PLA

are restricted, which hinders their application in high-performance parts [1,2]. Researchers are

now creating new biopolymer types with superior elasticity, UV stability, and chemical

resistance to target more applications like automotive production, medical implants, and

biodegradable coatings [4].

Furthermore, synthetic microbial consortia are also used to co-cultivate various bacterial and

fungal species to enhance bioplastic production. Such engineered microbial consortia enable

greatly enhanced substrate flexibility and metabolic balance, which lead to more efficient

fermentation processes at lower cost [5].

Future research in synthetic biology will likely focus on increasing the efficiency of microbes,

decreasing the cost of bioplastic production, and developing biodegradable materials with high

degrees of functionalization. Synthetic biology covering thousands of engineered microbes

which make more effective use of low-cost and sustainable feedstocks to overcome the

efficiency gap for high-performance bioplastics versus fossil-based plastics will be

VNSGU Journal of Research and Innovation (Peer Reviewed)

112

fundamental to rendering microbial bioplastics a commercially viable alternative to fossilbased plastics [18].

## **Policy and Market Trends Driving Bioplastics Adoption**

The growth of bioplastic is mainly driven by government policies, corporate sustainable development projects and media & public campaigns. Global initiatives, such as bans on singleuse plastics, tax incentives for sustainable materials, and funding for bio-based innovations are establishing a supportive policy landscape for microbial bioplastics [6].

## **Government Policies & Programs Supporting Sustainable Plastics**

A lot of governments are placing harsh regulations on petroleum-based plastics and advertising industries to switch to bio-degradable substitutes. As an example, The Single-Use Plastics Directive in the European Union requires the decrease of non-biodegradable plastic use and encourages the use of biodegradable and compostable materials [7]. Likewise, the restriction of plastic bag and disposable packaging had been placed to support the needs to the PHA and PLA based biodegradable materials in the United States and China [8].

Governments are also serving up more financial incentives, research grants and windfalls to corporations involved with bio-based materials to help keep the bioplastic movement rollicking along. Tax incentives and pilot project funding, as well as public procurement policies that favor environmentally friendly alternatives, are driving industry uptake of microbial bioplastics [9].

## Policies for Circular Economy and Zero Waste

One of the main key drivers for microbial bioplastics is the idea of a circular economy based on reducing, re-utilizing, and recycling waste, while using resources more effectively. Zerowaste policies prioritizing biodegradable materials, composting infrastructure and closed-loop recycling systems are being normalized within countries [10].

Sustainable bioplastics produced from renewable feedstocks that can biodegrade or be recycled complement or even serve as an alternative to the circular economy by mitigating plastic waste and reducing fossil fuel dependency. Developers of bioplastics are progressively centering the design of such products, known as end-of-life disposal to easily be integrated into composting, enzymatic recycling, and industrial waste treatment facilities [11].

Up until now, the necessitating improvements in sustainability momentum by various governments and industries across the globe will actively extract out market revenues for microbial bioplastics. But without global top-quality policies, more investments for industrial

composting infrastructure and efficient labeling standards for biodegradable assets, it may be still challenging to implement, and all of the customers will have to accept it.

**Industrial Scale-Up Prospects** 

Scaling the production of microbial bioplastics from laboratory-scale research to large-scale industrial-scale remains one of the greatest challenges. Therefore, high production costs, low fermentation process efficiency, and downstream processing limitations represent a key hurdle [1]. Nevertheless, recent advances such as bioreactor development, fermentation improvement, and cost reduction methods are making the production of bioplastics on a broad scale more

economically feasible [12].

Recent Progress in Bioreactor System and Fermentation Development

New bioreactors with real-time monitoring systems and automatic controls are enhancing the growth condition for microorganisms and boosting PHA and PLA production. Recent developments in continuous fermentation systems, cell immobilization technologies, and gasfed bioreactors are making microbial bioplastic production both easier to scale and less costprohibitive [13].

Finally, scientists are working on adaptive fermentation approaches, such that microbial strains constantly adapt their metabolism to predominantly draw nutrients required to grow and thrive through production of the biopolymer whilst minimizing metabolic output under specific environmental conditions. Such processes minimize the downtime of bioreactor and energy usage that makes microbial bioplastic commercial at a large scale [14].

**Cost Reduction Strategies and Future Market Projections** 

Microbial bioplastics are costly to produce, and strategies aimed at cost reduction are being explored if the final product is to be economically competitive with petroleum-based plastics.

These include:

• Low-Cost Feed-stocks: The use of agricultural residues, food processing byproducts and nutrients from wastewater as substrates entering in the fermentation process is being implemented to reduce the production costs [15].

Optimization of downstream processing methods: Downstream processing technologies such as solvent-free PHA recovery, enzyme-based extraction, and membrane filtration are being developed to reduce extraction and purification costs [16].

• Scaling out microbial bioplastic production facilities: Together, large-scale biorefineries and industrial partnerships are scaling up mass production and driving long-term economies of scale so that unit costs are decreasing [17].

According to the market overview, the production of bioplastics is expected to experience a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of over 20% over the next decade causing the global industry for bioplastics to be favorably dominated by PHA and PLA. The rise of consumer demand for sustainable products, regulatory compliance, and improvements of the corresponding industrial processing can accelerate the commercialization of bioplastics from microbes into mass markets [18].

Nevertheless, microbial bioplastics will require more advances of cost-effectiveness, performance, and scalability for full replacement of traditional plastics. Joint efforts among research institutions, governments, and private companies will be majorly important to overcome obstacles regarding the industrial-scale production and global adoption of microbial bioplastics [19].

There is great potential for the future of these microbial bioplastics as innovators are moving to synthetic biology, policies are implementing incentives, and industries are scaling up [31]. Increasing process efficiency and economic competitiveness for production of microbial bioplastics via advances in CRISPR-based metabolic engineering, bioreactor technology, and low-cost feedstock utilization At the same time, the government regulations and circular economy policies are accelerating the market adoption by driving biodegradable, sustainable plastic substitute. Despite the remaining scalability and cost problems, researchers hope that the industrial infrastructure being developed for this sector will ultimately make it possible for microbial bioplastics to become mainstream in the near future so that the goal of global sustainability together with reduced plastic pollution can be achieved.

## **Conclusion**

Microbial bioplastics have gained attention as a new technology to overcome the socioenvironmental issues imposed by traditional usage of petroleum-based plastics, acting as a biodegradable and a green source of bioplastic production [3,4]. Microorganisms have the capability to produce a range of bioplastics, including polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) and polylactic acid (PLA), from different types of feedstocks, including agricultural by-products, food waste, and wastewater, acting as new materials in the move toward a circular bioeconomy. Improvements in microbial fermentation, metabolic engineering, and synthetic biology have increased production efficiency, now allowing for the specific design of functional and

mechanical properties, and cost-effective approaches to bioprocessing. Nevertheless, these improvements still face major challenges such as high production costs, impossibility to upscale these to industrial sizes and limited materials property including brittleness and/or thermal instability. Finally, it is also an issue related to the end-of-life management of these bioplastics, since not all of them are compostable, and even the compostable ones usually need more advanced conditions than those offered by a standard composting process, and worldwide composting infrastructure is underdeveloped as well. Various government policies have also been helping to provide the necessary push to advance microbial bioplastics, together with sustainability regulations and corporate initiatives to increase incentives for biobased materials while imposing bans on single-use plastics to facilitate market development. Thus, continuous research on optimizing the bioreactor technologies, microbial strains and cost-effective feedstocks will make the microbial bioplastics more economically competitive. As industries, policymakers and scientists work to dismantle barriers preventing sustainable solutions, we predict microbial bioplastics will disrupt the plastics industry to prevent plastic waste and environmental harm and achieve a cleaner, sustainable future. Given sufficient continued experiment and investment, microbial bioplastics could serve as a key solution, helping to meet the ecological and economic challenges presented by global plastic production and consumption.

## References

- Ahuja, V., Singh, P. K., Mahata, C., Jeon, J. M., Kumar, G., Yang, Y. H., & Bhatia, S. K. (2024). A review on microbes mediated resource recovery and bioplastic (polyhydroxyalkanoates) production from wastewater. In *Microbial Cell Factories* (Vol. 23, Issue 1). BioMed Central Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-024-02430-0
- 2. Akinsemolu, A., Onyeaka, H., Fagunwa, O., & Adenuga, A. H. (2023). Toward a Resilient Future: The Promise of Microbial Bioeconomy. In *Sustainability* (*Switzerland*) (Vol. 15, Issue 9). MDPI. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15097251
- 3. Atiwesh, G., Mikhael, A., Parrish, C. C., Banoub, J., & Le, T. A. T. (2021). Environmental impact of bioplastic use: A review. *Heliyon*, 7(9). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07918
- 4. Coppola, G., Gaudio, M. T., Lopresto, C. G., Calabro, V., Curcio, S., & Chakraborty, S. (2021). Bioplastic from Renewable Biomass: A Facile Solution for a Greener Environment. In *Earth Systems and Environment* (Vol. 5, Issue 2, pp. 231–251).

- Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41748-021-00208-7
- 5. Costa, A., Encarnação, T., Tavares, R., Bom, T. T., & Mateus, A. (2023). *Bioplastics: Innovation for Green Transition*. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym
- 6. Degli Esposti, M., Morselli, D., Fava, F., Bertin, L., Cavani, F., Viaggi, D., & Fabbri, P. (2021). The role of biotechnology in the transition from plastics to bioplastics: an opportunity to reconnect global growth with sustainability. In *FEBS Open Bio* (Vol. 11, Issue 4, pp. 967–983). John Wiley and Sons Inc. https://doi.org/10.1002/2211-5463.13119
- 7. Dietrich, K., Dumont, M. J., del Rio, L. F., & Orsat, V. (2017). Producing PHAs in the bioeconomy Towards a sustainable bioplastic. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 9, 58–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2016.09.001
- 8. García-Depraect, O., Bordel, S., Lebrero, R., Santos-Beneit, F., Börner, R. A., Börner, T., & Muñoz, R. (2021). Inspired by nature: Microbial production, degradation and valorization of biodegradable bioplastics for life-cycle-engineered products. In *Biotechnology Advances* (Vol. 53). Elsevier Inc. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2021.107772
- 9. Iles, A., & Martin, A. N. (2013). Expanding bioplastics production: Sustainable business innovation in the chemical industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 45, 38–49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2012.05.008
- 10. Kapoor, D. D., Yadav, S., & Gupta, R. K. (2024). Comprehensive study of microbial bioplastic: present status and future perspectives for sustainable development. In *Environment, Development and Sustainability* (Vol. 26, Issue 9, pp. 21985–22011). Springer Science and Business Media B.V. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-023-03620-3
- 11. Koller, M. (2017). Advances in polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production. In Bioengineering (Vol. 4, Issue 4). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering4040088
- 12. Koller, M., Maršálek, L., de Sousa Dias, M. M., & Braunegg, G. (2017). Producing microbial polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) biopolyesters in a sustainable manner. In *New Biotechnology* (Vol. 37, pp. 24–38). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nbt.2016.05.001
- 13. Kourmentza, C., Plácido, J., Venetsaneas, N., Burniol-Figols, A., Varrone, C., Gavala, H. N., & Reis, M. A. M. (2017). Recent advances and challenges towards sustainable

- polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production. In *Bioengineering* (Vol. 4, Issue 2). MDPI AG. https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering4020055
- 14. Li, Z., Yang, J., & Loh, X. J. (2016). Polyhydroxyalkanoates: Opening doors for a sustainable future. In NPG Asia Materials (Vol. 8, Issue 4). Nature Publishing Group. https://doi.org/10.1038/am.2016.48
- 15. Nanda, S., Patra, B. R., Patel, R., Bakos, J., & Dalai, A. K. (2022). Innovations in applications and prospects of bioplastics and biopolymers: a review. In *Environmental* Chemistry Letters (Vol. 20, Issue 1, pp. 379-395). Springer Science and Business Media Deutschland GmbH. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01334-4
- 16. Nawaz, T., Gu, L., Hu, Z., Fahad, S., Saud, S., & Zhou, R. (2024). Advancements in Synthetic Biology for Enhancing Cyanobacterial Capabilities in Sustainable Plastic Production: Α Green Horizon Perspective. Fuels, 5(3), 394-438. https://doi.org/10.3390/fuels5030023
- 17. Samadhiya, K., Sangtani, R., Nogueira, R., & Bala, K. (2022). Insightful Advancement and Opportunities for Microbial Bioplastic Production. In Frontiers in Microbiology (Vol. 12). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.674864
- 18. Sharma, R., Solanki, P., Chaudhary, M., Gupta, N., & Kaur, P. (2024). Unveiling the potential of microalgae for bioplastic production from wastewater - current trends, innovations, and future prospects. Biotechnology for Sustainable Materials, 1(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s44316-024-00010-1
- 19. Thakur, S., Chaudhary, J., Sharma, B., Verma, A., Tamulevicius, S., & Thakur, V. K. (2018). Sustainability of bioplastics: Opportunities and challenges. In Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry (Vol. 13, pp. 68-75). Elsevier B.V. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cogsc.2018.04.013